

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,870 09/09/2003		Rene N. Ritter	414-34391-USCP	2078	
44871	7590 06/06/2006		EXAMINER		
•	IOSSMAN & SRIRAM,	LEDYNH, BOT L			
2603 AUGUSTA SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON, TX 77057			2862		
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)			
		10/657,870		RITTER ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Bot LeDynh		2862			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss		
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR INCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII maintenance in the may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS ( CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ho ion. period will apply and will expi y statute, cause the applicatio	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be tim re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·:					
2a) <u></u> □	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>	, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-93</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>34-90</u> is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5,20-22 and 91-93</u> is/are rejected to Claim(s) <u>6-19 and 23-33</u> is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restriction.	hdrawn from conside cted. to.					
Applicati	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminor					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		biected to by the F	- - - - - - - -			
,_	Applicant may not request that any objection						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o	•	•	, ,	.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E	iments have been red iments have been red e priority documents	ceived. ceived in Application have been receive	on No	ge		
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for	· ·	copies not receive	d. / ,			
			Par	My Phi			
Attachmen	t(s)		pre	many CX	which		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
3) 🔯 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/8/05</u> .	SB/08) 5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152	2)		

Application/Control Number: 10/657,870

Art Unit: 2862

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 34-90 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to nonelected groups II and III, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 22 and 91-93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bittar in view of Moriarty (EP 0723067). Bittar discloses substantially the same invention as claimed (see Office Action dated 2/3/05), except for a device maintaining the resistivity sensor at an offset from a wall of the borehole that is greater than a specified minimum value. Moriarty discloses such a device (the wear band 17). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Bittar by employing Moriarty's wear band 17 in order to provide a stand off for the resistivity sensor so that the resistivity sensor would not be effected by hostile well drilling condictions (see column 2 lines 43-56).

Claims 20-21 and 93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bittar in view of Moriarty (EP 0723067) as applied to claims 1-5, 22 above, and

Art Unit: 2862

further in view of Aronstam et al. Bittar in view of Moriarty (EP 0723067) discloses substantially the same invention as claimed, except for the orientation sensor comprising a magnetometer, or an accelerometer. Aronstam et al discloses that orientation sensors include magnetometers and/or accelerometer for determining the position with respect to a known point and inclination of a drilling assembly during drilling of the wellbore (see col.5, lines 22-35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bittar by including a magnetometer and/or an accelerometer for determining the position with respect to a known point and inclination of a drilling assembly during drilling of the wellbore.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-19, and 23-33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

It should be noted that application serial Number 09/836,980 does not support claimed limitations such as, "specified offset," or "toolface angle" (see independent claims). Consequently, the effective filling date of the instant application is its filling date.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Bot LeDynh whose telephone number is 5712722231. The examiner can normally be reached on Maxiflex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 5712722180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,870

Art Unit: 2862

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BL/ 2006

Bot LeDynh, J.D., Ph.D., D.A.

Page 4

**Primary Examiner**